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IMPORTANCE The use of monopolar cautery has been widely regarded as a contraindication in the setting of a cochlear implant because of presumed risk to the implant device. There are very limited data to support this contraindication.

OBJECTIVES (1) To evaluate the effects of monopolar cautery on cochlear implant devices. (2) To determine whether monopolar cautery changes the endocochlear temperature in an implanted cochlea.

DESIGN Sixteen cochlear implants from 3 manufacturers (Advanced Bionics LLC, Cochlear Americas Ltd, and Med-El Ltd) were implanted into 2 unembalmed, fresh cadavers. Monopolar cautery was applied to either the tongue or abdomen at coagulation settings of 10 W or 50 W for 30 minutes. Impedance and integrity testing were performed before, during, and after 30 minutes of cautery. The temperature in the endocochlear perilymph was measured during cautery. After explantation, devices were returned to the manufacturer for an in-depth “failure” analysis according to each manufacturer’s current protocol evaluating explanted devices.

SETTING Basic science laboratory, tertiary medical center.

PARTICIPANTS Cadaveric study.

INTERVENTION Application of monopolar cautery to implanted cochlear implants in a cadaveric model.

MAIN OUTCOME AND MEASURE (1) Changes to the implanted devices either during electrocautery or following failure analysis. (2) Changes in the intracochlear temperature.

RESULTS No change in impedance, integrity testing, or failure analysis occurred at any cautery setting when applied to either the oral cavity or abdomen. The temperature of the cochlea did not increase with up to 30 minutes of cautery at a setting of 50 W. Comprehensive device analysis did not show any evidence of device damage at the conclusion of the study.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Monopolar cautery did not produce detectible damage to any of the cochlear implant devices or produce detectible temperature change in the cochlea at low or high levels of cautery in the oral cavity in this experimental model.
he use of monopolar cautery has been widely regarded as a contraindication in the setting of a cochlear implant because of presumed risk to the implant device. Each of the 3 cochlear implant manufacturers have issued statements advising against the use of monopolar cautery once the implant is in place. There are, however, very limited data cited to support this contraindication, and reports in the literature are conflicting regarding the safety of electrosurgery in these patients.

The concerns are 2-fold. First, the electrical current may damage the device itself; second, the heat generated through electrosurgery may damage the auditory neurons when the electrode array is within the cochlea.

Cochlear Americas Ltd1 is the most clear in their statement regarding the use of electrosurgery in implanted patients. They state that

Electrosurgical instruments are capable of inducing radio frequency currents that could flow through the electrode array. Monopolar electrosurgical instruments must not be used on the head or neck of a cochlear implant patient as induced currents could cause damage to cochlear tissues or permanent damage to the implant. Bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used on the head and neck of patients, however, the cautery electrodes must not contact the implant and should be kept more than ½ in (~1 cm) from the extracochlear electrodes.

Med-El Ltd2 issued the following statement: “Monopolar electrosurgical instruments, including cautery, must not be used in the vicinity of the cochlear implant, as they can induce current levels in the implant electrodes that may cause damage to the neural tissues and/or destroy the implanted device.” Advanced Bionics LLC3 stated that “Electrosurgical instruments must not be used within the vicinity of the implant or electrode. Electrosurgical instruments are capable of producing radiofrequency voltages of such magnitude that a direct coupling might occur between the cautery tip and the electrode. Induced currents could cause damage to the cochlear tissues or permanent damage to the implant.”

A prior study4 has examined the effects of monopolar electrosurgery on cochlear implant devices implanted into cadaveric pigs and found no damage to the implants. The objective of this study is to determine whether monopolar cautery after placement of a cochlear implant damages the cochlear implant or produces thermal change in the cochlea in a human cadaver model.

Methods

Advanced Bionics LLC, Cochlear Americas Ltd, and Med-El Ltd were contacted and asked to donate cochlear implant devices for a study to test the effects of monopolar cautery on the device. All 3 manufacturers agreed to participate. Advanced Bionics LLC donated 4 HiRes 90K devices. Med-El Ltd donated 4 Concert devices and 4 Pulsar devices. Cochlear Americas Ltd donated 4 Nucleus Freedom devices. Each of the 3 companies sent a representative to perform intraoperative integrity and impedance testing. All of the manufacturers had knowledge of the protocol of the study but were blinded as to which device underwent which protocol. All manufacturers performed their own blinded analysis but did not participate in the data analysis or preparation of the manuscript.

Two fresh, unembalmed, human cadavers were used for the experiment. Two cadavers were used for the study because it was completed on 2 separate days. The cadaver was positioned supine, and the grounding pad was placed on the right leg. A skin incision was made and followed by mastoidectomy and drilling of the facial recess by standard methods. A cochleostomy was made anterior to the round window. One device from each company was used for each separate protocol. Full insertion was achieved for each implant.

Monopolar electrosurgery was performed with the Valley Laboratory Force FX electrosurgery generator with the E2515 electrosurgery pencil and E1650 tip (commonly called the “Colorado tip”) (all from Covidien).

Each device was tested at the factory prior to shipping to ensure normal function. Integrity and impedance testing was performed by standard methods before implantation, after implantation, and before electrosurgery. Using the first device from each of the 4 models, monopolar cautery in coagulation mode at a setting of 10 W was applied to the tongue. Using the second device of each model, monopolar cautery in coagulation mode at a setting of 50 W was applied to the tongue. Using the third device from each of the 4 models, monopolar cautery in coagulation mode at a setting of 10 W was applied to the abdominal skin and subcutaneous tissues. Using the fourth device of each type, monopolar cautery in coagulation mode at a setting of 50 W was applied to the abdominal skin and subcutaneous tissues.

Impedance and integrity testing was repeated after 1 minute of electrosurgery, 5 minutes of electrosurgery, 10 minutes of electrosurgery, and at completion of 30 total minutes of electrosurgery for each device. The testing was done by a trained representative from each manufacturer who was blinded to the cautery setting and the site of application during testing.

Temperature was measured using an Omega thermocouple probe (model No. SC-TT-K-30-36) and Omega electronic reader (model No. HH11b). After inserting the cochlear implant, the thermocouple probe was inserted into the basal turn of the cochlea. Temperature was measured throughout the 30 minutes of electrosurgery and recorded. Temperature was measured in conjunction with each of the 4 Cochlear Americas devices. Temperature measurements were incorporated into the second day of testing. Only the 4 Cochlear Americas devices were tested on the second day of testing.

After device removal, devices were repackaged, sealed, and returned to the manufacturer for in-depth, piece-by-piece analysis to look for any damage caused by electrosurgery. The manufacturer was blinded to the setting and location of cautery used for each device.

Of note, 1 of the Med-El Concert cochlear implant devices was structurally damaged during shipping prior to the experiment, rendering it unusable. Therefore, electrosurgery at setting of 10 W to the abdomen was omitted for this device.
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Results

The protocol was completed for 15 devices. As stated in the Methods section, 1 Med-El Concert device was structurally damaged in shipping and could not be implanted. Full insertion of all electrodes was achieved for each device.

Cochlear Implant Analysis

At each measured time point and at the end of 30 minutes of cautery, there was no change in the impedance or integrity testing for all 15 implants tested. In-depth analysis of each component of the cochlear implant did not show any evidence of electrical or thermal damage to the device.

Cochlea Temperature Analysis

Temperature at the basal turn of the cochlea was measured for each of the 4 Cochlear Americas Nucleus Freedom implants. This included 30 minutes of cautery at a setting of 10 W and 50 W to either the tongue or abdomen. There was no increase in temperature in the cochlea at any point during 30 minutes of cautery. The Table lists the starting, final, and interval temperature measurements for each of the 4 devices.

Discussion

Many patients who receive a cochlear implant will require an additional surgical procedure in their lifetime. Though less common, some patients with cochlear implants may even require emergency surgery. Concern that surgical devices may render a cochlear implant unusable may create anxiety in patients or parents. Our goal is to determine whether electrosurgery is potentially harmful in patients with cochlear implants. To perform a human cadaveric study looking at the effect of denervation on cochlear implants.9,7 However, none of these articles cite an explanation for this contraindication or data to support it. Many opponents to electrosurgery in patients with a cochlear implant cite the demonstrated potential for detrimental effects to cardiac pacemakers and extrapolate this to cochlear implants.

Antonelli and Baratelli4 performed a study in cadaveric pigs, applying monopolar electrical current at a setting of 50 W for 15 minutes to the nasopharynx. They tested 12 Cochlear Americas and Med El devices and found no damage to the implants. Poetker et al10 evaluated neural response telemetry before and after the use of monopolar electrosurgery during open heart surgery in a single living patient. They found no damage to neural integrity or the cochlear implant device.

A single published study has shown damage to an implant device following electrosurgery. Roberts et al10 performed a human cadaveric study looking at the effect of dental devices that emit radiofrequency on cochlear implants. A human cadaver head was used, but this study does not specify whether the cadaver was embalmed or preserved, which could alter the electrical current transmission. Roberts et al10 found irreparable damage when monopolar cautery was applied to the gingiva at a high setting (7 W) but not at low settings (3 W and 5 W) using a Dento-Surg model 90 FFP device (Ellman) and no damage with bipolar cautery. It is unclear how these settings compare with the more commonly used electrosurgical devices in the operating room. The site of the return electrode, or grounding pad, was not stated. In addition, a single cochlear implant was tested, and this single implant was used to test numerous electric current emitting dental devices. While this study10 does find that interference or damage may be in-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ND, no data.

*All data are given as temperature readings in degrees Celsius.

The temperature probe fell out of the cochlea, and when checked at the end of 1 minute the reading was 18.5°C. The room temperature was also measured at 18.5°C.
duced by monopolar electrosurgery, it does not provide de-
finitive evidence or usable guidelines.

Monopolar electrosurgery settings of 10 W and 50 W were
chosen for the present study. A setting of 10 W was selected
to study a low current that would still be clinically useful. A
current of 50 W was chosen because settings at or higher
than 50 W are rarely used at head and neck sites. Although some-
times higher settings may be used in a general surgery set-
ting, we chose 50 W for the abdominal site as well to maintain
a consistent protocol.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine heat
changes in an implanted cochlea during electrosurgery. Previ-
ous authors have hypothesized that electric current coupling
to the cochlear implant electrode array could lead to heat genera-
tion and thereby damage to the stria vascularis or other aspects
of the cochlea. Our study did not demonstrate any heat changes
to suggest a potential mechanism for thermal cochlear damage.

There are several limitations to our study. The most signifi-
cant limitation is the use of cadavers for the study. Although
the cadavers were unembalmed, there may be differences in the cur-
cent flow between living and nonliving tissues. Second, heat
changes were measured only at the basal turn owing to the con-
figuration of the thermocouple probe and in only 4 of the devices.
A small amount of saline was added to the cochlea to ensure that
all electrodes of the implant were covered. Because the cadaver
was lower in temperature than the saline, the temperature ac-
tually decreased throughout the 30 minutes of cautery.

The third limitation is that only electrosurgery in coagula-
tion mode was tested. In cutting mode, there is a uniform,
constant sinusoidal output of current to the tissues. In
coagulation mode, higher voltage peaks are used, but these
alternate with periods of no current flow. The coagulation
mode results in a higher peak voltage than the cutting
mode. Further research is needed to determine whether
electrosurgery in the cutting mode affects cochlear
implants.

We are not advocating use of monopolar cautery in pa-

tients with a cochlear implant. There are not enough data at
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